

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

<b>Applicants:</b>	Amjad Ali, <i>et al.</i>	<b>Art Unit:</b>
<b>Serial No.:</b>	10/540,757	1612
<b>Case No.:</b>	21158P	
<b>Filing Date:</b>	June 23, 2005	
<b>For:</b>	17-CARBAMOYLOXY CORTISOL DERIVATIVES AS SELECTIVE GLUCOCORTICOID RECEPTOR MODULATORS	<b>Examiner:</b> Barbara P. Badio

Mailstop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT**

Dear Sir:

There is an outstanding Office Action mailed on February 06, 2009 setting forth a one-month or thirty-day period for response which expires on March 08, 2009.

In the Office Action, the Examiner appeared to require that Applicants elect a single invention between Group I and Group II. Specifically, the Examiner stated:

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.  
Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to compounds and compositions.  
Group II, claim(s) 12-16, drawn to various method of using the claimed compounds.

(Office Action mailed February 06, 2009, page 2)

However, the Examiner also appeared to suggest that the instant compounds (of Group I) can be further divided into sub-groups. In particular, the Examiner stated: